

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,812	03/08/2004	Wayne J. Breda	006087.00015	2769	
22908	7590 · 12/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BANNER &	& WITCOFF, LTD.		WOOD, KIN	WOOD, KIMBERLY T	
TEN SOUTH	I WACKER DRIVE				
SUITE 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3632		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			VI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	10/795,812	BREDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly T. Wood	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet will	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (FHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	8 March 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	•	• •	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-10 and 16-18 is 5. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,11-15 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/are withdrawn from considera	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) \square objected to t	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con		•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	€
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		VMail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/795,812

Art Unit: 3632

This is an office action for serial number 10/795,812.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: ***

Species I drawn to figure 1-5

Species II drawn to figure 6 and 7

Species III drawn to figure 10

Species IV drawn to figure 13

Species V drawn to figure 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which

are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Charles Shifley on December 9, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-3, 11-15, and 19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-10 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The examiner has reviewed the claims and has determined that the openings therethrough for passage of fasteners for affixing said flange legs to such a

partition have not been disclosed as part of the elected species therefore claims 16-18 have been withdrawn.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the mounting member which is shown in phantom in figure 13 needs to be shown in solid lines since the applicant is claiming the feature.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered

Application/Control Number: 10/795,812

Art Unit: 3632

and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 11-15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The

applicant has described the second pole relative to the mounting member however the second pole, offset support and hanger are removably attached to the mounting member. The second pole, offset support, and hanger being removably attached to the mounting member would make it impossible for the second pole to be "adjacent said attaching means" when the second pole, hanger, and offset supports are removed from the mounting means. The limitation of the "second pole extending vertically from the at least one offset support adjacent said attaching means" is non enabling since the limitation is only true when the hanger is attached to the mounting member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 12, 15, and 19 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pryor et al. (Pryor) 5,344,169. Pryor discloses a mounting member (near 39 the flat portion between 39 and 40), a first pole a

means for attaching (39, 37, and 34), a hanger including a first pole being a telescopic pole (22), at least one hanger element (50), a retainer (48), offset supports (40), a second pole (22 one of the other two), flange leg (36).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 11, 13, and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly T. Wood whose telephone number is 703-308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimber T. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

December 9, 2004